

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,877		03/31/2004	Jack E. Caveney	LCB425 7371  EXAMINER	
32915 .	7590	04/14/2006			
PANDUIT			FIGUEROA, FELIX O		
LEGAL DEPARTMENT - TP12 17301 SOUTH RIDGELAND AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TINLEY PARK, IL 60477			2833	
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/814,877	CAVENEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Felix O. Figueroa	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ma	arch 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-39,44 and 46-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-39,44 and 46-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
- See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	; <b>u</b> .					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2833

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/06/2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Contrary to Applicant's remarks the faceplate is not mountable to the frame entirely within the top and bottom flanges. Please note that, as shown in the left side of Figure 12, parts of the faceplate extend outside the frame. Accordingly, the new matter limitation is not been considered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 17, 23-28, 31,33-36 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanchez et al. (US 6,086,415).

Sanchez discloses a patch panel comprising: a frame (24,90,92) having a top flange and a bottom flange, the frame including a plurality of faceplate openings (at 66); a faceplate (22) mountable to the frame within the top flange and the bottom flange, the faceplate having a plurality of mounting openings (at 32) and each mounting opening having at least one modular jack retention latch (at 44) protruding within the mounting opening; and at least one modular jack (28) mountable into a rear side of the faceplate.

Regarding claim 23, Sanchez discloses the frame having a plurality of first mounting apertures (102) at a first end thereof and a plurality of second mounting apertures at a second end thereof.

Regarding claim 24, Sanchez discloses the faceplate being releasably mounted on the frame.

Regarding claim 25, Sanchez discloses the faceplate being mounted into a rear side of the frame.

Regarding claim 26. Sanchez discloses the faceplate having a top flange with a retention latch and a bottom flange with a retention latch.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,877 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 27, Sanchez discloses the top flange of the faceplate has at least one release arm.

Regarding claim 28, Sanchez discloses the faceplate having four mounting openings.

Regarding claims 31, Sanchez discloses mounting opening includes a plurality of modular jack stops (perimeter walls) that define a lateral position of the modular jack;

Regarding claim 33, Sanchez discloses the faceplate having eight modular jack mounting positions.

Regarding claim 34, Sanchez discloses each modular jack mounting position including a plurality of modular jack stops.

Regarding claim 35, Sanchez discloses each modular jack being releasably mounted to the faceplate.

Regarding claim 36, Sanchez discloses each modular jack being mounted to the faceplate in the same orientation.

Regarding claim 44, Sanchez discloses a method of assembling a patch panel comprising the steps of: providing a frame (24) having a top flange and a bottom flange, the frame including a plurality of faceplate openings; mounting a faceplate (22) having a plurality of mounting openings within the top flange and the bottom flange, each mounting opening having at least one modular jack retention latch protruding within the mounting opening; and mounting at least one modular jack (28) into a rear side of the faceplate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-20, 22-28, 30-37, 39, 44, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry et al. (US 6,053,764) in view of Arnett (US 5,238,426).

Curry discloses a patch panel comprising: a frame (12) having a top flange and a bottom flange, the frame including a plurality of faceplate openings (23); a faceplate (14) mountable to the frame within the top flange (at 29) and the bottom flange (at 33), the faceplate having a plurality of mounting openings (at 16) and at least one modular jack retention latch (51, Fig.4); and at least one modular jack (17) mountable into a rear side of the faceplate. Curry discloses substantially the claimed invention except for a retention latch for each mounting opening. Arnett teaches a faceplate (30) having a mounting opening (16) and the mounting opening having at least one modular jack retention latch (59) to hold the connector secured to the faceplate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form each mounting opening of the faceplate with a retention latch, as taught by Arnett, to provide a stronger and more secure retention of the jacks to the faceplate.

Regarding claim 18, Curry, as modified, discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the specific number of faceplates. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design preference to form the frame with any specific number of

Art Unit: 2833

faceplates, in order to meet environmental and /or preference requirements, and since applicant has not disclosed that such number of faceplate solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose.

Regarding claim 19, Curry, as modified, discloses the top flange of the frame having a plurality of apertures (27,28) and the bottom flange having a plurality of second apertures (31-32).

Regarding claim 20, Curry, as modified, discloses at least one of the first apertures receives at least one first faceplate retention latch (34,36), and at least one of the second apertures receives at least one second faceplate retention latch (37,38).

Regarding claim 22, Curry, as modified, discloses the frame includes indicia (not labeled, see Fig. 1 and 2) centered above each modular jack position.

Regarding claim 23, Curry, as modified, discloses the frame has a plurality of first mounting apertures (41) at a first end thereof and a plurality of second mounting apertures (41) at a second end thereof.

Regarding claim 24, Curry, as modified, discloses the faceplate being releasably mounted to the frame.

Regarding claim 25, Curry, as modified, discloses the faceplate being mounted into a rear side of the frame.

Regarding claim 26, Curry, as modified, discloses the faceplate has a top flange including at least one first faceplate retention latch (34,36) and a bottom flange including at least one second faceplate retention latch (37,38).

Regarding claim 27, Curry, as modified, discloses the top flange of the faceplate has at least one release arm (supporting the latch end).

Regarding claim 28, Curry, as modified, discloses the faceplate having (at least) four mounting openings (16).

Regarding claim 30, Curry, as modified by Arnett, discloses each mounting opening includes two modular jack retention latches (59).

Regarding claims 31 and 32, Curry, as modified by Arnett, discloses mounting opening includes a plurality of modular jack stops (perimeter walls) that define a lateral position of the modular jack; and the plurality of modular jack stops being disposed along a bottom flange of the faceplate.

Regarding claim 33, Curry, as modified, discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the specific number of jack mounting positions. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design preference to form the frame with any specific number of jack mounting positions, in order to meet environmental and /or preference requirements, and since applicant has not disclosed that such number of jack mounting positions solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose.

Regarding claim 34, Curry, as modified by Arnett, discloses each modular jack mounting position including a plurality of modular jack stops (where protrusions 66 of Arnett abut).

Regarding claim 35, Curry, as modified, discloses the modular jack being releasably mounted to the faceplate.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 36, Curry, as modified, discloses each modular jack being mounted to the faceplate in the same orientation.

Regarding claim 37, Curry, as modified, discloses each modular jack is slidingly installed along a linear path into a mounted position in the faceplate.

Regarding claim 39, Curry, as modified, discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the specific number of jacks. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design preference to form the frame with any specific number of jacks within a rack unit, in order to meet environmental and /or preference requirements, and since applicant has not disclosed that such number of jack mounting positions solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose.

Regarding claim 44, Curry discloses a method of assembling a patch panel comprising the steps of: providing a frame (12) having a top flange and a bottom flange, the frame including a plurality of faceplate openings (23); mounting a faceplate (14) having a plurality of mounting openings (16) within the top flange and the bottom flange, and mounting at least one modular jack (17) into a rear side of the faceplate. Curry discloses substantially the claimed invention except for a retention latch for each mounting opening. Arnett teaches a faceplate (30) having a mounting opening (16) and the mounting opening having at least one modular jack retention latch (59) to hold the connector secured to the faceplate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form each mounting opening of the faceplate with a retention latch, as taught by Arnett, to provide a stronger and more secure retention of the jacks to the faceplate.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,877

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 46, Curry discloses the modular jack being slidingly installed along a linear path into a mounted position in the faceplate.

Regarding claim 47, Curry discloses mounting the patch panel (by 41) to a network rack.

Claims 21 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry in view of Arnett, and further in view of Rutkowski et al. (US 5,639,261).

Curry, as modified, discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the center bar. Rutkowski teaches the use of a center bar in order to define vertically aligned faceplate opening and thus reduce the total assembly time for the patch panel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the patch panel of Curry with a center bar, as taught by Rutkowski, to define vertically aligned faceplate opening and thus reduce the total assembly time for the patch panel.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry in view of Arnett, and further in view of Gutierrez et al. (US 6585,540).

Curry, as modified, discloses substantially the claimed invention except for mounting opening accommodating two jacks. Gutierrez teaches mounting openings accommodating two jacks in order to simplify the faceplate construction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the mounting openings accommodating two jacks, as taught by Gutierrez, to simplify the faceplate construction.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry et al. in view of Welch et al. (US 4,875,880).

Curry discloses a patch panel comprising: a frame (12) having a top flange and a bottom flange, the frame including a plurality of faceplate openings (23); a faceplate (14) mountable to the frame within the top flange (at 29) and the bottom flange (at 33), the faceplate having a plurality of mounting openings (16); and at least one modular jack (17) mountable into a rear side of the faceplate. Curry discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the jack retention latch in the mounting opening and the rearwardly extending flanges. Welch teaches the use of rearwardly extending flanges (14a,14b) on the frame; and a jack retention latch (28a/28b) on the faceplate to provide a more stable and secure connection between the frame, the faceplate and the jack. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use rearwardly extending flanges and retention a jack retention latch, as taught by Welch, to provide a more stable and secure connection between the frame, the faceplate and the jack.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix O. Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-2003. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 10:00am-6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,877 Page 11

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Auri 0. John Felix O. Figueroa Art Unit 2833